Recent progress in the unitary-model-operator approach

Takayuki Miyagi

Center for Nuclear Study, the University of Tokyo Collaborators: T. Abe, M. Kohno, P. Navratil, R. Okamoto, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu, and S. R. Stroberg



Mar. 1, 2018

Introduction

 One of the fundamental problems is to understand the nuclear structure and reactions based on underlying nuclear interaction.

major issues

Nuclear interaction

many-body problem

Nuclear interaction : progress in chiral EFT

 \star High-precision NN force

- \star 3N force consistent with NN force
- Many-body problem : Ab initio calculation methods

Nuclear force from chiral EFT

Chiral effective field theory

Weinberg, van Kolck, Kaiser, Epelbaum, Glöckle, Meißner, Entem, Machleidt, …



SRG evolution - 1

- Bare nuclear interactions are too "hard" to be applied for usual manybody methods.
- We usually need the "soft" interactions that provides the rapid convergence with respect to the model-space size.

S. K. Bogner, R. J. Furnstahl, and R. J. Perry, PRC 75, 061001 (2007).

$$\frac{dH(s)}{ds} = [\eta(s), H(s)] \qquad H(s) = U^{\dagger}(s)HU(s)$$

$$\frac{dU(s)}{ds} = -\eta(s)U(s)$$

s: resolution scale in unit of fm⁴ λ : momentum scale s^{-1/4}

SRG evolution - 2







◆ 3-body SRG flow (J^PT = 1/2+1/2)



Normal Ordering Approximation

R. Roth et al., PRL 109 (2012). S. Binder et al., PRC 87 (2013).

Explicit treatment of 3BF is difficult

 $V_{3N} = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1 b_2 b_3} V^{a_1 a_2 a_3}_{b_1 b_2 b_3} A^{a_1 a_2 a_3}_{b_1 b_2 b_3}, \quad V^{a_1 a_2 a_3}_{b_1 b_2 b_3} = \langle a_1 a_2 a_3 | V_{3N} | b_1 b_2 b_3 \rangle, \quad A^{a_1 a_2 \cdots}_{b_1 b_2 b_3} = c^{\dagger}_{a_1} c^{\dagger}_{a_2} \cdots c_{b_2} c_{b_1} A^{a_1 a_2 \cdots}_{b_1 b_2 b_3} = 0 \quad |0\rangle : \text{nucleon vacuum}$

Rearrangement of 3BF w.r.t the ref. state

$$V_{3N} = W + \sum_{a_1b_1} W_{b_1}^{a_1} \widetilde{A}_{b_1}^{a_1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a_1a_2b_1b_2} W_{b_1b_2}^{a_1a_2} \widetilde{A}_{b_1b_2}^{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{36} \sum_{a_1a_2a_3b_1b_2b_3} W_{b_1b_2b_3}^{a_1a_2a_3} \widetilde{A}_{b_1b_2b_3}^{a_1a_2a_3}$$

$$\lim_{A_{b_1b_2\cdots}} |\Phi\rangle = 0 \quad |\Phi\rangle: \text{ reference state} \quad \widetilde{A}_{b_1b_2\cdots}^{a_1a_2\cdots} = A_{b_1b_2\cdots}^{a_1a_2\cdots} - (\text{all contracted terms})$$
If the reference state is sufficiently close to the true ground state, the effect of the residual 3BF should be small. HF reference state is employed in practical applications.

Discard the residual 3BF term => NO2B approximation

Many-body methods

- Ab initio calculation methods related with this work
 - * Few-body system (Faddeev equation, Faddeev-Yakubovsky equation, etc.)
 - * Green's Function Monte Carlo
 - * No-Core Shell Model
 - * Nuclear Lattice Effective Field Theory
 - * Coupled-Cluster Method
 - * Self-Consistent Green's Function Method
 - * In-Medium Similarity Renormalization Group Approach
 - * Unitary-Model-Operator Approach (UMOA)

* •••

K. Suzuki and R. Okamoto, PTP **92**, 1045 (1994). TM et al., PRC **96**, 054312 (2017).

$$H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle$$
$$(U^{\dagger}HU)(U^{\dagger}|\Psi\rangle) = E(U^{\dagger}|\Psi\rangle)$$

Many-body Schrödinger equation

$$H = E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{A} t_i + \sum_{i< j}^{A} v_{ij}$$

Uncorrelated single-Slater determinant

• Unitary operator U

$$U = e^{S^{(1)}} e^{S^{(2)}} \qquad S^{(1)} = \sum_{i}^{A} s_{i}, \quad S^{(2)} = \sum_{i < j}^{A} s_{ij}$$

 $\tilde{H}|\Phi\rangle = E|\Phi\rangle$

Cluster expansion

•

$$\widetilde{H} \approx E_0 + \widetilde{H}^{(1)} + \widetilde{H}^{(2)} + (\widetilde{H}^{(3)})$$
 perturbative treatment



K. Suzuki and R. Okamoto, PTP **92**, 1045 (1994). TM et al., PRC **96**, 054312 (2017).

Cluster expansion

$$\widetilde{H} \approx E_0 + \widetilde{H}^{(1)} + \widetilde{H}^{(2)} + (\widetilde{H}^{(3)})$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H}^{(1)} &= \sum_{i} \widetilde{h}_{i}; \ \widetilde{h}_{i} = e^{-s_{i}} (t_{i} + w_{i}) e^{s_{i}} \\ \widetilde{H}^{(2)} &= \sum_{i < j} \widetilde{v}_{ij} - \sum_{i} \widetilde{w}_{i} \\ \widetilde{v}_{ij} &= e^{-s_{ij}} e^{-(s_{i} + s_{j})} (t_{i} + w_{i} + t_{j} + w_{j} + v_{ij}) e^{s_{i} + s_{j}} e^{s_{ij}} - (\widetilde{h}_{i} + \widetilde{h}_{j}) \\ \widetilde{w}_{i} &= e^{-s_{i}} w_{i} e^{s_{i}} \\ \widetilde{H}^{(3)} &= \sum_{i < j < k} \widetilde{v}_{ijk} - \sum_{i < j} \widetilde{w}_{ij} \end{split}$$

Actual calculation procedure

•

K. Suzuki and R. Okamoto, PTP **92**, 1045 (1994). TM et al., PRC **96**, 054312 (2017).



Calculation setup

- \star Interaction:
 - NN: chiral N³LO ($\Lambda = 500 \text{ MeV/c}$)

D. R. Entem and R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. C C68, 041001 (2003).

- 3N: chiral N²LO local form (Λ = 400 MeV/c)
 R. Roth, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 052501 (2012).
- ★ NO2B approximation through the Hartree-Fock calculations
 - 3-body matrix elements $e_{3max} = 14$.

 $e_{3max} = max(2n_1+l_1+2n_2+l_2+2n_3+l_3)$

 \star UMOA calculations are done with the e_{max} truncation.

 $e_{max} = max(2n+l)$

Convergence (NN+3N-full $\lambda = 1.88$ fm⁻¹)

-24-100⁻¹⁶**O** ⁴He -25 (MeV) (MeV) -110 Converged results are -26 found. -120். **-27** ப $E_{
m g.\,s.}$ -28 -130UMOA results are close -29 25 20 30 35 **40** 25 30 35 20 **40** to the CCSD and $\hbar\omega$ (MeV) $\hbar\omega$ (MeV) **IM-SRG** results. $e_{\max} = 2$ -280⁴⁰**Ca** $e_{\rm max} = 4$ -300 $e_{\max} = \mathbf{6}$ (MeV) $e_{\max} = \mathbf{8}$ -320 $e_{\rm max} = 10$:: **-340** آ $e_{\rm max} = 12$ $e_{\rm max} = 14$ -360 **CCSD** -380 20 25 30 35 **40 IM-SRG** $\hbar\omega$ (MeV)

CCSD: S. Binder, J. Langhammer, A. Calci, P. Navrátil, and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. C 87, 021303 (2013). IM-SRG: H. Hergert, S. K. Bogner, S. Binder, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, R. Roth, and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. C 87, 034307 (2013).

Accuracy of UMOA calc.

$$\widetilde{H} \approx E_0 + \widetilde{H}^{(1)} + \widetilde{H}^{(2)} + \widetilde{H}^{(3)}.$$
 perturbative estimation

@ $e_{max} = 14$, hw = 25 MeV, NN+3N-full ($\lambda = 1.88$ fm⁻¹)

	Eo	<h<sup>(1)></h<sup>	<h<sup>(2)></h<sup>	<h<sup>(3)></h<sup>	Etot	<h<sup>(3)>/Etot</h<sup>
⁴ He	-0.40	-107.15	80.46	-0.71	-27.81	0.03
16 0	32.42	-514.12	357.59	-3.04	-127.16	0.02
⁴⁰ Ca	173.85	-1440.6	905.42	-7.08	-368.44	0.02

Cluster expansion works well

 $<H^{(3)}>/E_{tot}$ can be thought as the error of UMOA calc.

$$\lambda$$
 -dependence



Ground-state energies for oxygen isotopes



 $@e_{max}=14 hw = 25 MeV$

Consistent with recent ab initio results

15 Exp.: AME2012

Root-mean-squared radii

Converged results are found.

Results are significantly smaller than experimental data.

Experimental data are estimated from charge radii.



SRG evolution of radius operator

3-body SRG flow



Radius



 (ET_{ij})







SRG evolution of radius operator

NN+3N-full, e_{max}=14, hw=25 MeV



Reduction of λ dependence M. D. Schuster, et al., Phys. Rev. C **90**, 011301 (2014). Radii are still small

Summary

- + UMOA works well similar to the other ab initio calculation methods.
- + Radii are still small even if the 3NF effect is taken into account.
- + SRG evolution gives minor modification for the radius operator.

Future works

+ Extension of the UMOA framework for direct treatment of 3NF.

Backup

SRG evolution - 3

SRG transformation induces many-body forces
 NN-only
 NN+3N-ind
 NN+3N-full



E. D. Jurgenson, P. Navratil, and R. J. Furnstahl, PRL **103**, 082501 (2009).
E. D. Jurgenson, P. Navratil, and R. J. Furnstahl, PRC **83**, 034301 (2011).

...

UMOA - determination of S

Okubo, PTP 1954. Lee and Suzuki, PLB 1980.

- Procedure for S
 - * Solve eigenvalue problem

P Q P Q P Q P Q F Q

 $Q\widetilde{H}P = P\widetilde{H}Q = 0$

 $(P+Q)H(P+Q)|\psi_k\rangle = \epsilon_k |\psi_k\rangle$

- * P and Q are the projection operator
- * Decomposition of eigenvector into P and Q component $|\phi_k\rangle = P|\psi_k\rangle, \quad \omega|\phi_k\rangle = Q|\psi_k\rangle$
- * Formal solution of ω $S = \operatorname{arctanh}(\omega - \omega^{\dagger})$ $\widetilde{H} = e^{-S}He^{S}$
- Decoupling condition is satisfied

UMOA - definition of P and Q

Choice of P and Q is crucial in actual calculations.



K. Suzuki and R. Okamoto, PTP **92**, 1045 (1994). TM et al., PRC **96**, 054312 (2017).

Cluster expansion

$$\widetilde{H} \approx E_0 + \widetilde{H}^{(1)} + \widetilde{H}^{(2)} + \widetilde{H}^{(3)}$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H}^{(1)} &= \sum_{i} \widetilde{h}_{i}; \ \widetilde{h}_{i} = e^{-s_{i}}(t_{i} + w_{i})e^{s_{i}} \\ \widetilde{H}^{(2)} &= \sum_{i < j} \widetilde{v}_{ij} - \sum_{i} \widetilde{w}_{i} \\ \widetilde{v}_{ij} &= e^{-s_{ij}}e^{-(s_{i} + s_{j})}(t_{i} + w_{i} + t_{j} + w_{j} + v_{ij})e^{s_{i} + s_{j}}e^{s_{ij}} - (\widetilde{h}_{i} + \widetilde{h}_{j}) \\ \widetilde{w}_{i} &= e^{-s_{i}}w_{i}e^{s_{i}} \\ \widetilde{H}^{(3)} &= \sum_{i < j < k} \widetilde{v}_{ijk} - \sum_{i < j} \widetilde{w}_{ij} \\ \widetilde{v}_{ijk} &= e^{-(s_{ij} + s_{jk} + s_{ki})}e^{-(s_{i} + s_{j} + s_{k})}(t_{i} + w_{i} + t_{j} + w_{j} + t_{k} + w_{k} + v_{ij} + v_{jk} + v_{ki})e^{s_{i} + s_{j} + s_{ki}}e^{s_{ij} + s_{jk} + s_{ki}} \\ - (\widetilde{h}_{i} + \widetilde{h}_{j} + \widetilde{h}_{k} + \widetilde{v}_{ij} + \widetilde{v}_{jk} + \widetilde{v}_{ki}) \\ \widetilde{w}_{ij} &= e^{-s_{ij}}e^{-(s_{i} + s_{j})}(w_{i} + w_{j})e^{s_{i} + s_{j}}e^{s_{ij}} \end{split}$$

K. Suzuki and R. Okamoto, PTP 92, 1045 (1994).
 TM et al., PRC 96, 054312 (2017).
 Perturbative evaluation for three-body term

$$\begin{split} \langle \widetilde{H}^{(3)} \rangle &\approx \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ab > \rho_F} \sum_{ijkl \le \rho_F} \langle ij|\widetilde{v}|kl \rangle \langle kl|s|ab \rangle \langle ij|s|ab \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{abc > \rho_F} \sum_{ijk \le \rho_F} \langle ia|\widetilde{v}|jb \rangle \langle kj|s|ca \rangle \langle ik|s|cb \rangle \end{split}$$

Radii for oxygen isotopes



Extension of UMOA Framework

• Ground-state energy for ⁴He (NN-only @ $\lambda = 2 \text{ fm}^{-1}$)

