

Ab initio Effective Potentials for NA Scattering based on NCSM Nonlocal One-Body Densities

Ch. Elster

M. Burrows, S.P. Weppner, K. Launey, P. Maris, A. Nogga, G. Popa,

TRIUMF 2019 Workshop Progress in *ab initio* Techniques in Nucear Physics

Supported by





National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center





Exotic Nuclei are usually short lived:

Have to be studied with reactions in inverse kinematics



Challenge:

In the continuum, theory can solve the few-body problem exactly.

UNIVERSITY



Example (d,p) Reactions:

Reduce Many-Body to Few-Body Problem



Hamiltonian for effective few-body poblem:

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{V}_{np} + \mathbf{V}_{nA} + \mathbf{V}_{pA}$$

Nucleon-nucleon interaction believed to be well known: today: chiral interactions

Effective proton (neutron) interactions:

- purely phenomenological optical potentials fitted to data
- optical potentials with theoretical guidance
- microscopic optical potentials

+ astronomu



Isolate relevant degrees of freedom



Formally: separate Hilbert space into P and Q space, and calculate in P space

Projection on P space requires introducing **effective interactions** between the degrees of freedom that are treated explicitly (Feshbach, Annals Phys. 5 (1958) 357-390)

Effective Interactions: non-local and energy dependent





Isolate relevant degrees of freedom



Formally: separate Hilbert space into P and Q space, and calculate in P space

Projection on P space requires introducing **effective interactions** between the degrees of freedom that are treated explicitly (Feshbach, Annals Phys. 5 (1958) 357-390)

Effective Interactions: non-local and energy dependent

History: Phenomenological optical potentials Either fitted to a large global data set OR to a restricted data set

Most general form of optical potential

- $\sum_{i} [V_{A,Z,N,E}(r) + i W_{A,Z,N,E}(r)] Operator_{(i)}$
- Functions are of Woods-Saxon type

No connection to microscopic theory

Have central and spin orbit term

Fit cross sections, angular distributions polarizations, for a set of nuclei (lightest usually ¹²C).



Today's Goal: effective interaction from *ab initio* methods

Start from many-body Hamiltonian with 2 (and 3) body forces

Theoretical foundations laid by Feshbach and Watson in the 1950s

Feshbach:

→ effective nA interaction via Green's function from solution of many body problem using basis function expansion, e.g. SCGF, CCGF (current truncation to singles and doubles) energy ~ 10 MeV

Rotureau, Danielewicz, Hagen, Jansen, Nunes PRC 95, 024315 (2017)

Idini, Barbieri, Navratil J.Phys.Conf. 981. 012005 (2018) Acta Phys. Polon. B48, 273 (2017)

Watson:

→ Multiple scattering expansion, e.g. spectator expansion (current truncation to 2 active particles)

Spectator Expansion:

Siciliano, Thaler (1977)

Picklesimer, Thaler (1981)

Expansion in:

- particles active in the reaction
- antisymmetrized in active particles

"fast reaction", i.e. ≥ 100 MeV



Elastic Scattering (Watson approach)

- In- and Out-States have the target in ground state Φ_0
- Projector on ground state **P** = $|\Phi_0\rangle\langle\Phi_0|$
 - With **1=P+Q** and **[P,G₀]=0**
- For elastic scattering one needs: **PTP = PUP + PUPG**₀(E) **PTP**

 $T = U + U G_0(E) P T$

 $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{E}) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{U}$

⇐ effective (optical) potential

Up to here exact

Spectator Expansion of U :

1st order: single scattering:

g:
$$\mathbf{U}^{(1)} \approx \Sigma^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{i}=0} \tau_{\mathsf{o}\mathsf{i}}$$

Chinn, Elster, Thaler, PRC 47, 2242 (1993)

2 Active







NN scattering amplitudes

Nuclear one-body density

$$U_{el}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}) = \sum_{i=n,p} \int d\mathbf{P} \ \eta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{P}) \ \hat{\tau}_{0i}\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{1}{2}(\frac{A+1}{A}\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{P}), \mathcal{E}\right) \rho_i(\mathbf{P} - \frac{A-1}{2A}\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P} + \frac{A-1}{2A}\mathbf{q})$$

$$\mathbf{K} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}'}{2} \qquad \mathbf{P} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{k}_i + \mathbf{k}'_i}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{A}$$
$$\mathbf{q} \equiv \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$$

Effective Potential is non-local and energy dependent

Same NN Interaction can now be used for NN t-matrix and one-body density matrix

Details of implementation designed for energies ≥ 100 MeV



Nonlocal one-body densities from NCSM (or SA-NCSM)translationally invariant(NNLO_{opt}, proton distribution, ħω=20 MeV)



physics + astronomy



NN amplitude: $f_{NN}(k'k;E) = C \langle k' | t_{NN}(E) | k \rangle$

Variables (E,k',k, ϕ) \Rightarrow (E, q, K, θ)

physics + astronomy

with q = k' - k $K = \frac{1}{2} (k' + k)$

NN t-matrix in Wolfenstein representation:

Projectile "0" : plane wave basis Struck nucleon "*i*" : target basis Usual assumption: Spin saturated ground state

$$\overline{\mathrm{M}}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) = A(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) \mathbf{1} + i \cdot C(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{NN}
+ M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{NN}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{NN})
+ (G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) - H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E})) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}})
+ (G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) + H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E})) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{NN}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{NN})$$

$$+ D(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) \left[(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{NN}) + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{NN}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \right] \quad \text{Off-shell}$$

Remark: Spin dependence is not explicit in usual definition of one-body density matrix



Wolfenstein Amplitudes A and C

E_{lab}=125 MeV → max. momentum

NNLO_{opt}

fitted to

transfer

≈ 2.45 fm⁻¹



+ astronomy







0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

q [fm $^{-1}$]

2.5

3.0

3.5

physics + astronomy

 O_{TY}

16



NNLO_{opt} fitted up to Elab=125

 $\vec{q}_{nn}=\vec{q}_{nA}=\vec{q}$

MeV

Burrows, Elster, Weppner, Launey, Maris, Nogga, Popa arXiv:1810.06442





Total cross section for neutron scattering







¹²C(p,p)¹²C



р

Note:

Implementation of first order term (past, present, all groups)

only exact for spin saturated ground states
(≡ spin-flip of struck target nucleon neglected)



¹²C(p,p)¹²C



4.0

4.5

¹⁶O: spin-0 contribution ~95%
⁶He: spin-0 contribution ~ 80-85%

¹²C: spin-0 contribution ~60%

Consider that calculations contain:

Reaction Theory \approx 1992 ± 2

Crespo, Johnson, Tostevin Chinn, Elster, Thaler, Weppner Arellano, Brieva, Love

Nuclear force employed \approx 2013

NCSM calculation matured ≈ 2000 +



Improve on reaction theory (first order term)



Define one-body density such that information on spin is contained explicitly

$$\rho_{q_s}^{K_s}(\vec{r_s}, \vec{r_s}) = \left\langle \Phi' \left| \sum_{i=1}^A \delta^3(r_i - r_s) \delta^3(r'_i - r'_s) \widehat{\tau_{(i)}}_{q_s}^K \right| \Phi \right\rangle$$

$$: \quad \widehat{\tau_{(i)}}_0^0 = 1$$

$$K_s = 1 \quad : \quad \widehat{\tau_{(i)}}_{-1}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\widehat{\sigma}_x - i\widehat{\sigma}_y)$$

$$: \quad \widehat{\tau_{(i)}}_{1}^1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\widehat{\sigma}_x + i\widehat{\sigma}_y)$$

Scalar:

$$K_s = 0 \quad : \quad \widehat{\tau_{(i)}}_0^0 = \quad 1$$



Proton density matrix



NN amplitude: $f_{NN}(k'k;E) = C \langle k' | t_{NN}(E) | k \rangle$

Variables (E,k',k, ϕ) \Rightarrow (E, q, K, θ)

with q = k' - k $K = \frac{1}{2} (k' + k)$

NN t-matrix in Wolfenstein representation:

Projectile "0" : plane wave basis Struck nucleon "*i*" : target basis

Calculate matrix elements:

physics + astronomy

$$\upsilon_n(\vec{r_s}, \vec{r'_s}) = \left\langle \Phi' \left| \sum_{i=1}^A \delta^3(r_i - r_s) \delta^3(r'_i - r'_s) \left[\widehat{\tau_{(i)}}^{K_s = 1} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{n_{t.i.}}}^1 \right]_0^0 \Phi \right\rangle$$

Result: matrix elements containing $\sigma \cdot q$ and $\sigma \cdot K$ give zero net contribution



NN amplitude: $f_{NN}(k'k;E) = C \langle k' | t_{NN}(E) | k \rangle$

Variables (E,k',k, ϕ) \Rightarrow (E, q, K, θ)

with
$$q = k' - k$$

 $K = \frac{1}{2} (k' + k)$

NN t-matrix in Wolfenstein representation:

Projectile "0" : plane wave basis Struck nucleon "*i*" : target basis



Contributions to an ab initio folding potential in first order for elastic p(n) scattering off spin-zero targets

physics + astronomy





Central part of effective potential (np)

On-shell condition:

(N_{max}=6 ħw=20 MeV) -- only neutron-proton part with additional contributions





physics + astronomy

p+A and n+A effective interactions ('optical potentials')

- Renewed urgency in reaction theory community for microscopic input to e.g. (d,p) reaction models.
- Most likely complementary approaches needed for different energy regimes

Today: Consistent approach to p+A effective interaction becomes possible.

In the multiple scattering approach first order term non-spin-0 components in the ground state need to be included for an *ab initio* first order folding potential



- Different structure approaches need to be explored in this context: at present we use NCSM and SA-NCSM
- Dependence on forces employed
- Revisit details of implementation of the reaction theory ...
- Systematic approach to higher order corrections e.g. anti-symmetry, 3NFs

(hard but needs to be attempted)

+ astronomy













