# Automated generation and evaluation of many-body diagrams

Pierre Arthuis University of Surrey

Progress in Ab Initio Techniques in Nuclear Physics TRIUMF, Vancouver - February 28th 2019





- Why automated tools for many-body diagrams?
- Application to Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory
  - ◊ Basics of diagrammatic BMBPT formalism
  - $\diamond~$  Automated generation and evaluation for BMBPT diagrams
- Conclusion and perspectives



- Why automated tools for many-body diagrams?
- Application to Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory
  - ◊ Basics of diagrammatic BMBPT formalism
  - $\diamond~$  Automated generation and evaluation for BMBPT diagrams
- Conclusion and perspectives

## Progress in *ab initio* methods





Courtesy of V. Soma, T. Duguet

## Progress in *ab initio* methods







#### Two-fold force leading progress

- New formal developments
- Progress in numerical methods, computing power

## Progress in diagrammatic ab initio methods



#### The coming extensions

Keep incorporating more physics

- To higher truncation orders
- To higher-rank forces

## Progress in diagrammatic ab initio methods



#### The coming extensions

Keep incorporating more physics

- To higher truncation orders
- To higher-rank forces

#### The coming difficulties

More complex topologies appearing

- Time-consuming
- Error-prone



## Progress in diagrammatic ab initio methods



#### The coming extensions

Keep incorporating more physics

- To higher truncation orders
- To higher-rank forces

#### The coming difficulties

More complex topologies appearing

- Time-consuming
- Error-prone



#### The coming needs

Develop tools to avoid human work

- Automated diagram generation and evaluation
- Automated code-generation



- Why automated tools for many-body diagrams?
- Application to Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory
  - Basics of diagrammatic BMBPT formalism
     [Duguet and Signoracci, J. Phys. G 44 (2017)]
     [Tichai, Arthuis, Duguet, Hergert, Somà, Roth, PLB 786 (2018)]
     See A. Tichai's talk for numerical implementation
  - $\diamond~$  Automated generation and evaluation for BMBPT diagrams
- Conclusion and perspectives

## Bogoliubov reference state



**Bogoliubov vacuum**  $|\Phi\rangle$ :  $\beta_k |\Phi\rangle = 0 \forall k$  $\beta_k = \sum_{\rho} U^*_{\rho k} c_{\rho} + V^*_{\rho k} c^{\dagger}_{\rho}$  $\beta^{\dagger}_k = \sum_{\rho} U_{\rho k} c^{\dagger}_{\rho} + V_{\rho k} c_{\rho}$  Particle-number breaking  $A|\Phi\rangle \neq A|\Phi\rangle$ Breaks U(1) symmetry  $H \Rightarrow \Omega = H - \lambda A$ 

## Bogoliubov reference state



**Bogoliubov vacuum**  $|\Phi\rangle$ :  $\beta_k |\Phi\rangle = 0 \forall k$  $\beta_k = \sum_{\rho} U_{\rho k}^* c_{\rho} + V_{\rho k}^* c_{\rho}^{\dagger}$ 

$$eta_k^\dagger = \sum_{
ho} U_{
ho k} \, c_{
ho}^\dagger + V_{
ho k} \, c_{
ho}$$

Particle-number breaking  $A|\Phi\rangle \neq A|\Phi\rangle$ Breaks U(1) symmetry  $H \Rightarrow \Omega = H - \lambda A$ 

$$\begin{split} & \text{Grand potential } \Omega \text{ in qp basis, normal-ordered w.r.t. } |\Phi\rangle \\ & \Omega = \Omega^{00} + \frac{1}{1!} \sum_{k_1 k_2} \Omega^{11}_{k_1 k_2} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta_{k_2} + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{k_1 k_2} \left\{ \Omega^{20}_{k_1 k_2} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_2} + \Omega^{02}_{k_1 k_2} \beta_{k_2} \beta_{k_2} \beta_{k_1} \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{(2!)^2} \sum_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \Omega^{22}_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_2} \beta_{k_4} \beta_{k_3} \\ & + \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \left\{ \Omega^{31}_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_2} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_3} \beta_{k_4} + \Omega^{13}_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta_{k_4} \beta_{k_3} \beta_{k_2} \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \left\{ \Omega^{40}_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_1} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_2} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_3} \beta^{\dagger}_{k_4} + \Omega^{04}_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \beta_{k_4} \beta_{k_3} \beta_{k_2} \beta_{k_1} \right\} + \dots \end{split}$$



Grand potential partitioning  $\Omega_0 = \Omega^{00} + \bar{\Omega}^{11} = \Omega^{00} + \sum_k \mathsf{E}_k \beta_k^{\dagger} \beta_k$   $\Omega_1 = \check{\Omega}^{11} + \Omega^{20} + \Omega^{02} + \Omega^{[4]} + \Omega^{[6]}$ 



Grand potential partitioning 

Time-evolved state



Grand potential partitioning  $\Omega_0 = \Omega^{00} + \bar{\Omega}^{11} = \Omega^{00} + \sum_k \mathsf{E}_k \beta_k^{\dagger} \beta_k$   $\Omega_1 = \check{\Omega}^{11} + \Omega^{20} + \Omega^{02} + \Omega^{[4]} + \Omega^{[6]}$  Time-evolved state  $|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \equiv U(\tau)|\Phi\rangle$  $= e^{-\tau\Omega_0} T e^{-\int_0^\tau d\tau\Omega_1(\tau)} |\Phi\rangle$ 

Ground state energy of an open-shell nucleus

$$\mathbf{E}_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{A} = \langle \Psi_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} | \Omega | \Phi \rangle_{c} = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \langle \Phi | \mathsf{T} e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau \Omega_{\mathbf{1}}(\tau)} \Omega | \Phi \rangle_{c}$$

Grand potential partitioning  $\Omega_{0} = \Omega^{00} + \bar{\Omega}^{11} = \Omega^{00} + \sum_{k} \mathsf{E}_{k} \beta_{k}^{\dagger} \beta_{k}$   $\Omega_{1} = \breve{\Omega}^{11} + \Omega^{20} + \Omega^{02} + \Omega^{[4]} + \Omega^{[6]}$ 

Time-evolved state  $|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \equiv U(\tau)|\Phi
angle$  $= e^{-\tau\Omega_0} T e^{-\int_0^\tau d\tau\Omega_1(\tau)} |\Phi
angle$ 

Ground state energy of an open-shell nucleus

$$\mathbf{E}_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{A} = \langle \Psi_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} | \Omega | \Phi \rangle_{c} = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \langle \Phi | \mathsf{T} e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau \Omega_{1}(\tau)} \Omega | \Phi \rangle_{c}$$

# $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Propagators} \\ G_{k_1k_2}^{+-(0)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) &\equiv \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathsf{T}[\beta_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau_1)\beta_{k_2}(\tau_2)] | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \\ G_{k_1k_2}^{-+(0)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) &\equiv \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathsf{T}[\beta_{k_1}(\tau_1)\beta_{k_2}^{\dagger}(\tau_2)] | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \\ G_{k_1k_2}^{+-(0)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) &= -G_{k_1k_2}^{-+(0)}(\tau_2,\tau_1) \end{aligned}$

Grand potential partitioning  $\Omega_0 = \Omega^{00} + \bar{\Omega}^{11} = \Omega^{00} + \sum_k \mathsf{E}_k \beta_k^{\dagger} \beta_k$   $\Omega_1 = \breve{\Omega}^{11} + \Omega^{20} + \Omega^{02} + \Omega^{[4]} + \Omega^{[6]}$  Time-evolved state  $|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \equiv U(\tau)|\Phi\rangle$  $= e^{-\tau\Omega_0} T e^{-\int_0^\tau d\tau\Omega_1(\tau)} |\Phi\rangle$ 

Ground state energy of an open-shell nucleus

$$\mathbf{E}_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{A} = \langle \Psi_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} | \Omega | \Phi \rangle_{c} = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \langle \Phi | \mathsf{T} e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau \Omega_{1}(\tau)} \Omega | \Phi \rangle_{c}$$

#### Propagators

$$\begin{split} G_{k_1k_2}^{+-(0)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) &\equiv \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathsf{T}[\beta_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau_1)\beta_{k_2}(\tau_2)] | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \\ G_{k_1k_2}^{-+(0)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) &\equiv \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathsf{T}[\beta_{k_1}(\tau_1)\beta_{k_2}^{\dagger}(\tau_2)] | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \\ G_{k_1k_2}^{+-(0)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) &= -G_{k_2k_1}^{-+(0)}(\tau_2,\tau_1) \end{split}$$

Perturbative expansion of g.s. energy

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{0}^{\mathsf{A}} &- \lambda \mathsf{A} = \langle \Phi | \left\{ \Omega(0) - \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau_{1} \mathsf{T} \left[ \Omega_{1}(\tau_{1}) \Omega(0) \right] \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau_{1} \mathrm{d}\tau_{2} \mathsf{T} \left[ \Omega_{1}(\tau_{1}) \Omega_{1}(\tau_{2}) \Omega(0) \right] \\ &+ \ldots \right\} | \Phi \rangle_{c} \end{split}$$

# Building blocks of the diagrammatic



# Building blocks of the diagrammatic





UNIVERSITY OF



#### Diagrams of observables from building blocks

#### I. Topological rules

- No external legs
- No oriented loop between vertices
- No self-contraction
- $\bullet$  Propagators go out of the  $\Omega$  vertex at time 0





#### Diagrams of observables from building blocks

#### I. Topological rules

- No external legs
- No oriented loop between vertices
- No self-contraction
- Propagators go out of the  $\Omega$  vertex at time 0



#### II. Algebraic rules

- Vertex, propagators labelling
- Sign factor for crossing lines
- Symmetry factor for equivalent lines, vertex exchange
- Sum over all q.p. states, integrate over all time labels

## Derivation of a second-order diagram







Time-dependent and time-integrated expressions:

$$P\Omega 2.6 = -\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 k_8} \Omega_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}^{40} \Omega_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_8}^{04} \tilde{\Delta}_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_8}^{11} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) e^{-\tau_1 \left( E_{k_1} + E_{k_2} + E_{k_3} + E_{k_8} \right)} e^{\tau_2 \left( E_{k_8} - E_{k_4} \right)} \\ = -\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 k_8} \Omega_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}^{40} \Omega_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_8}^{04} \tilde{\Delta}_{k_8 k_4}^{11} \frac{1}{\left( E_{k_1} + E_{k_2} + E_{k_3} + E_{k_4} \right) \left( E_{k_1} + E_{k_2} + E_{k_3} + E_{k_8} \right)} e^{\tau_2 \left( E_{k_8} - E_{k_4} \right)}$$



- Why automated tools for many-body diagrams?
- Application to Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory
  - ◊ Basics of diagrammatic BMBPT formalism
  - Automated generation and evaluation for BMBPT diagrams [Arthuis, Duguet, Tichai, Lasseri, Ebran, CPC in print, arXiv:1809.01187]
- Conclusion and perspectives



#### **Technical goal**

*p*-order diagram production

*p*-order diagram evaluation





How to build an automated framework











**End product** 

Open-source computer code

SURREY

 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal

• For each vertex 
$$i$$
,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6



SUBREV

 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal

• For each vertex 
$$i$$
,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6





 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal

• For each vertex 
$$i$$
,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6





 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal

• For each vertex 
$$i$$
,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6





*a<sub>ij</sub>*: number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal
- For each vertex i,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6



#### Generation of BMBPT diagrams of order p

#### Algorithm

**1** Generate all  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$  matrices

- ◊ Fill the matrices "vertex-wise" with all allowed integers
- Output Check the degree of each vertex before moving on

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal
- For each vertex i,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6



## Generation of BMBPT diagrams of order p

#### Algorithm

**1** Generate all  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$  matrices

- ◊ Fill the matrices "vertex-wise" with all allowed integers
- ♦ Check the degree of each vertex before moving on

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal
- For each vertex i,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6



### Generation of BMBPT diagrams of order p

#### Algorithm

**1** Generate all  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$  matrices

- ◊ Fill the matrices "vertex-wise" with all allowed integers
- Output Check the degree of each vertex before moving on

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

*a<sub>ij</sub>*: number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal
- For each vertex i,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6



#### Generation of BMBPT diagrams of order p

#### Algorithm

**1** Generate all  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$  matrices

- ◊ Fill the matrices "vertex-wise" with all allowed integers
- ♦ Check the degree of each vertex before moving on
- 2 Discard matrices leading to topologically identical diagrams

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $a_{ij}$ : number of edges going from node *i* to node *j* 

#### Topological rules constraining the matrices

- Upper triangular
- Zeros on the diagonal
- Cannot be recast as block-diagonal
- For each vertex i,  $\sum_{i} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$  is 2, 4 or 6



## Generation of BMBPT diagrams of order p

#### Algorithm

**1** Generate all  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$  matrices

- ◊ Fill the matrices "vertex-wise" with all allowed integers
- ♦ Check the degree of each vertex before moving on
- 2 Discard matrices leading to topologically identical diagrams
- 3 Translate the matrix into drawing instructions

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

## Automatic generation of diagrams

3rd order BMBPT diagrams for vertices with 2, 4 and 6 legs



+ 388 others...

## Automatic generation of diagrams

3rd order BMBPT diagrams for vertices with 2, 4 and 6 legs



+ 388 others...

#### Systematic combinatoric

Order		0	1	2	3	4	5
$deg_max = 4$	General	1	2	8	59	568	6 805
	HFB vacuum	1	1	1	10	82	938
$deg_max = 6$	General	1	3	23	396	10 716	+100 000
	HFB vacuum	1	2	8	77	5 055	+100 000

## Automatic generation of diagrams

3rd order BMBPT diagrams for vertices with 2, 4 and 6 legs



+ 388 others...

#### Systematic combinatoric

#### Generated by computer code in 2'30

Order		0	1	2	3	4	5
$deg_max = 4$	General	1	2	8	59	568	6 805
	HFB vacuum	1	1	1	10	82	938
$deg_max = 6$	General	1	3	23	396	10 716	+100  000
	HFB vacuum	1	2	8	77	5 055	+100 000



SURREY







$$TSD = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \int_0^{\tau} d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_p \, \theta(\tau_q - \tau_r) \dots \theta(\tau_u - \tau_v) e^{-a_1 \tau_1} \dots e^{-a_p \tau_p}$$

$$TSD = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \int_0^{\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \dots \mathrm{d}\tau_p \,\theta(\tau_q - \tau_r) \dots \theta(\tau_u - \tau_v) e^{-a_1 \tau_1} \dots e^{-a_p \tau_p}$$



$$TSD = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \int_0^{\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \dots \mathrm{d}\tau_p \,\theta(\tau_q - \tau_r) \dots \theta(\tau_u - \tau_v) e^{-a_1 \tau_1} \dots e^{-a_p \tau_p}$$



$$TSD = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \int_0^{\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \dots \mathrm{d}\tau_p \,\theta(\tau_q - \tau_r) \dots \theta(\tau_u - \tau_v) e^{-a_1 \tau_1} \dots e^{-a_p \tau_p}$$



- Several BMBPT diagrams may have same TSD
- Replace a; with appropriate q.p.e. sum for BMBPT final expression



• TSD topology crucial for result extraction





• TSD topology crucial for result extraction





• TSD topology crucial for result extraction





• TSD topology crucial for result extraction





• TSD topology crucial for result extraction



• Extraction of time-integrated expression depends on tree / non-tree





• TSD topology crucial for result extraction



• Extraction of time-integrated expression depends on tree / non-tree



Direct diagrammatic rule



• TSD topology crucial for result extraction



• Extraction of time-integrated expression depends on tree / non-tree











## Open-source code ADG



#### Technical aspects

- Python 2
- Use of NetworkX and NumPy libraries
- OS-independent
- BMBPT, HF-MBPT (NN only)

#### Diffusion

- Accepted in CPC
- Available on GitHub, PyPI
- GPLv3 license

#### Output example







- Co-developped with the method from the start
- Symmetry breaking and restoration at arbitrary order





- Co-developped with the method from the start
- Symmetry breaking and restoration at arbitrary order
- Critical need for automatized tools from diagram number

Order	0	1	2	3	4
$BMBPT(deg_max = 4)$	1	2	8	59	568
$PBMBPT\;(\texttt{deg_max}\;=4)$		3	37	951	33 985

Extension to Gorkov Self-Consistent Green's Function in progress

[Raimondi, Arthuis, Barbieri, Somà, Duguet, in prep.]



- Extend Gorkov SCGF to ADC(3)
  - ◊ Capture more correlations
  - Put Gorkov SCGF on equal footing with Dyson SCGF
- Incorporate 3NFs from the start

SURREY

Extension to Gorkov Self-Consistent Green's Function in progress

[Raimondi, Arthuis, Barbieri, Somà, Duguet, in prep.]



- Extend Gorkov SCGF to ADC(3)
  - Capture more correlations
  - Put Gorkov SCGF on equal footing with Dyson SCGF
- Incorporate 3NFs from the start

See C. Barbieri's colloquium for more SCGF!

SURREY



- Why an automated tool for many-body diagrams?
- Application to Bogoliubov Many-Body Perturbation Theory
  - ◊ Basics of diagrammatic BMBPT formalism
  - $\diamond~$  Automated generation and evaluation for BMBPT diagrams
- Conclusion and perspectives

## Conclusion and perspectives

5 UNIVERSITY OF

## (P)BMBPT diagrams generated and evaluated automatically

- ✓ Fast and error-safe
- Easier numerical implementation

#### ADG open-source code available

- ✓ HF-MBPT and BMBPT diagrams and expressions
- PBMBPT to come soon
- ◊ On-going work on GSCGF for ADC(n)

#### Interface with other codes

- Text-format output available for interface with numerical codes (e.g. [Drischler, Hebeler and Schwenk, PRL 122 (2019)])
- ◊ To be interfaced with *J*-coupling tools (see J. Ripoche's poster)





## Thank you for your attention!